



## Review Article

# Mitigating the Harmful Impacts of Industrial Effluents; The Potential of Biological Treatment Techniques

Humaira Niamat<sup>1</sup>, Roheela Yasmeen<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Danyal Mustafa<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Abdullah Zahid<sup>1</sup>, Zainab Noor<sup>1</sup> and Jaweria Abbas<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Lahore Garrison University, Lahore, Pakistan

## ARTICLE INFO

### Key Words:

Industrial Effluents, Chemicals, Biological Treatment, Leather Industry

### How to cite:

Niamat, H., Yasmeen, R., Mustafa, M. D., Zahid, M. A., Noor, Z., & Abbas, J. (2023). Mitigating the Harmful Impacts of Industrial Effluents; The Potential of Biological Treatment Techniques : Biological Treatment of Industrial Effluents . MARKHOR (The Journal of Zoology), 4(02). <https://doi.org/10.54393/mjz.v4i02.77>

### \*Corresponding Author:

Humaira Niamat  
Department of Biology, Lahore Garrison University, Lahore, Pakistan  
[humairaniamat@lgu.edu.pk](mailto:humairaniamat@lgu.edu.pk)

Received Date: 1<sup>st</sup> November, 2023

Acceptance Date: 16<sup>th</sup> December, 2023

Published Date: 31<sup>st</sup> December, 2023

## ABSTRACT

The leather and chemical industries produce a large volume of effluents that contain harmful chemicals, heavy metals, and nutrients. These effluents contribute to pollution and have adverse effects on the environment, aquatic life, animals, and humans. To mitigate these effects, biological techniques such as degradation via algae, fungi, and bacteria have been implemented for the treatment of these effluents. The article discusses the harmful impacts of these industrial effluents and the potential of biological treatment methods to address them. The chemical industry generates effluent containing toxic, carcinogenic, and mostly non-biodegradable chemicals, leading to acute and chronic health effects. Similarly, leather industry generates heavy metals and toxic compounds in effluents that are discharged into aquatic life such as rivers, ponds and streams without further treatment. They have massive chronic effect on primarily them and ultimately up in the food chain. Various bioremediation techniques such as bio augmentation involving multiple microbes like bacteria, fungi and algae have and can be used to treat such effluents biologically and eco-friendly. Chromium(III) and chromium(VI) can be treated effectively only by such techniques. Furthermore, SBR technique and its multiple variants are applied for treatment of potentially toxic chemicals present in chemical industrial effluent. All such techniques provide strong biological substitution to prevalent physical or chemical methods of remediation.

## INTRODUCTION

Effluents from the leather industry contain harmful chemicals and heavy metals that cause pollution and adverse effects on the environment, aquatic life, animals, and humans. Industrial effluent discharge has significantly increased, leading to rules and regulations on various chemical industries. Effluents from pharmaceutical and petrochemical industries contain a wide range of toxic, carcinogenic, and mutagenic chemicals, leading many physio-chemical and oxidative chemical procedures to be inefficient. Biological treatment methods, such as fungal and bacterial treatments, and activated sludge, are discussed as potential solutions for treating these effluents.

### The Leather Industry

Leather making is a technique that involves the application of various chemicals (organic and inorganic) to a natural biological model, besides a significant amount of water. Around a hundred and thirty distinct types of chemicals are applied for the whole remedy of leather [1]. That wide variety of chemicals utilized in a tannery is drained out into streams, ponds, and rivers without treatment which causes groundwater pollution. A tannery can cause groundwater pollution in a range of about 7-8 km radius around it that has a devastating effect on aquatic lifestyles, birds, animals, and people [2].

### Detrimental Effects of Effluents

Effluents from tanneries produce quite significant by-products and wastes either in solid, liquid, or gaseous forms which take part in pollution by chemical oxygen demand (COD), total dissolved solids (TDS), chlorides, sulfates, and heavy metals. Chromium III a primary tanning agent applied in the leather industry has a deleterious impact on nature as well as on living creatures. The effluents from the leather industry also contain ammonium nitrogen and germanium. The increased percentage of nitrogen in drinking water near tanneries causes the condition of methemoglobinemia where transport of O<sub>2</sub> (oxygen dioxide) is interrupted by nitrate in infants. Moreover, a slightly increased intake of germanium ions than the optimum requirement would immediately results in protein fixing and causes lung cancer, nose membrane shrinkage, and bleeding [2]. The heavy metal found in Leather industry effluent is Cd, Zn, Cr, Ni, Pb, and Mn, which when used to irrigate or discharge in nearby soil containing crops would result in phytotoxicity and groundwater pollution that causes deleterious impact not only on vegetation but also on human health. The increased amount of sodium and chloride ions in groundwater is the major cause of cardiac arrest, hypertension, and asthma. On the other hand, the presence of Sulphate ions causes dehydration and intestinal issue. Similarly, the consumption of Cr6 dissolved in groundwater results in serious health deterioration such as ulcers, genetic mutations, breathing problems, and organ damage (liver and kidney)[3].

### Biological Treatment Techniques

#### Detoxification of Effluents by Microbes

Numerous chemical strategies have been followed for the treatment of those harmful chemicals present in the effluents of the leather industry although it is a less expensive approach, it generates harmful outcomes. For this reason, various biological techniques are implemented because of their ease of operation and eco-friendly results.

#### Degradation via Algae

The algal genera i.e., the *Spirulina* sp., the *Scenedesmus* sp., the *Chlorella* sp., and the *Scenedesmus* sp. have the potential to extract the heavy metals from the effluents. These genera contain polysaccharides in their cell wall which facilitate the adsorption of heavy metals. Marine algae are also widely utilized nowadays for treating various kinds of industrial effluents. They also work as an adsorbent for treating effluent. Following a test finished, the result suggests that discrete extracts of 4 marine algae i.e., the *Grateluopia lithophile*, the *Ulva Lactuca*, the *Enteromorpha flexuosa*, and lastly the *Centroceras clavulatum* have been used to deal with leather industry effluents. Amongst all, extracts of *Ulva Lactuca* reduced

extra amounts of chemicals existing in the effluent. Benzene extract of *Ulva Lactuca* also proves useful in decreasing the TDS (85%), sulfate (82%), and nitrate (91%). Also, the Hardness of water (81%) and chloride ions (91%) content has decreased significantly with the help of methanolic residue of the *Ulva Lactuca*. Chloroform extract of *Ulva Lactuca* decreased more amount of chromium(91%)existing in the effluent [4].

#### Degradation via Fungi

Similarly, fungi have the potential to entice and adsorb harmful material by using their specialized organs i.e., hyphae and help in the metabolic process. Two researchers have examined and finished the biosorption of chromium from effluent of leather tannery, using *Aspergillus* sp. 85% of Cr was eliminated at optimum pH of 6 within a bioreactor. Others also suggested a brand-new mechanism of chromium (VI) elimination by employing the use of dead biomass of *Aspergillus niger* obtained from synthetic wastewater. The process of chromium (VI) becomes a redox reaction between chromium (VI) and the deceased biomass of *Aspergillus niger*[5].

#### Degradation via Bacteria

Numerous bacterial species were used to detoxify tannery effluents. For example, *Burkholderia* spp., *Acinetobacter* spp. *Bacillus* spp., and *Pseudomonas* spp., are used as microorganisms in one-of-a-kind research for Cr elimination [6]. While, hexavalent Cr is being bio-remediated at a neutral pH variety, it generates a chemical sludge in a small quantity. During the bioremediation process, Cr (VI) is highly soluble in bacteria and toward the finish of the cycle, it is shifted by means of the sulfate pathway all around the cell membrane and is reduced inside the cytoplasm to Cr (III). The Cr (III) further interconnects with protein and nucleic acids and is unable to go through the cell membrane. Two researchers have inspected the biosorption of Cr through the *Staphylococcus* spp. and the *Bacillus* spp. from tannery wastewater whose preliminary consideration becomes 100 mg/L. Underneath the ideal conditions (i.e., 37°C and a 7.0 pH for *Bacillus* spp., and 37°C and an 8.0 pH for *Staphylococcus* spp.), the highest chromium uptake by bacterial species was recorded to be 94.5 mg/L and 72.6 mg/L, respectively [7].

#### Bio-augmentation by BM-S-1

It is the method used to speed up the degradation of contaminants within the tannery effluents and for this motive a unique microbial consortium, BM-S-1, became effectively applied, at a full-scale tannery wastewater treatment plant, to cast off the scent and notably reduce sludge without the involvement of any chemical. Microbial groups that occupy a distinctive area of interest facilitated using the augmented BM-S-1 appeared to play important roles in the biological and eco-friendly treatment of the

tannery wastewater. The elimination efficiencies of COD, TN, TP, and Cr have been predicted to be 98.3%, 98.6%, 93.6%, and 88.5%, respectively. The pyrosequencing evaluation confirmed *Brachymonas denitrificans* to be the most dominant microbial population in the buffering tank (B; 37.5%). The polymer degraders (the Clostridia), sulfate diminisher (the *Desulfuromonas palmitatis*), and sulfur oxidant (uncultured *Thiobacillus*) were prepotent in (SD) tank [8].

#### Bioremediation by GS-TE1310

A unique bacterial consortium GS-TE1310 is developed for the degradation and detoxification of leather tannery effluents and its phytotoxicity assessment for environmental protection. Three pollution degrading bacterial strains recognized through gene sequencing are *Ochrobactrum intermedium* (GS1), *Micrococcus lylae* (GS3), and *Stenotrophomonas acidaminiphila* (GS10) were separated from leather-based tannery effluents + sludge, which had been able to tolerate up to 6, 4, and 8% salt (NaCl) concentration and capable to degrade COD up to 61.12, 54.28, and 66.32 % from actual leather tannery effluents, respectively, and subsequently, used inside the improvement of a new bacterial consortium GS-TE1310. However, the newly modified bacterial consortium GS-TE1310 was extraordinarily beneficial in degrading all of the pollution parameters (BOD: 85.32 %, COD: 76.12 %, TDS: 71.89 %, phenol: 88.70 %, and general chromium: 71.22 %) simultaneously from actual leather-based tannery effluents within 120 h and therefore, compellingly showed a significant capacity for tannery effluents remedy and cleansing. The optimum pH is 7, temperature 35.5°C, inoculum conc. 20 mL, and agitation rate was 120 rpm [9].

#### The Chemical Industry

Water rendered to the living organisms is approximately 0.03% thus its contamination must be avoided at all costs. Due to industrial revolution effluent discharge increased a lot, as a result uncompromised rules and regulations have been implemented on various chemical industries. The chemical industry comprises mainly of pharmaceutical, petrochemical, oleo-chemical, polymer, organic and inorganic chemicals etc. Chemical industrial effluents thus contain a wide range of chemicals that are toxic, carcinogenic, mutagenic and mostly non-biodegradable due to combined effects. Such enormous numbers of substances cause many physio-chemical and oxidative chemical procedures inefficient [10].

#### Harmful Effects of Effluents

Harmful effects of pharmaceutical industrial effluent are mostly dependent on the concentration of APIs in the effluent. Local discharge from the excretion of humans has very small number of APIs present as compared to discharge from the pharmaceutical industries. For

example, in 2007 effluents from plants in Patancheru near Hyderabad India, which are considered as a hub for worldwide production of drugs, were analyzed. The effluent of treatment plant which was receiving waste water from 90 manufacturing plants contained lethal number of APIs. For instance, ciprofloxacin (a broad spectrum-antibiotic) was present in 31mg/L; highly toxic to many organisms. This is nearly one million times more than treated municipal sewage effluents [10]. These uncontrolled discharges have led to deterioration of river sediments, pollution of drinking water and adulteration of irrigated lands. Additionally, high amounts of penicillin (mg/L) and ethyniloestradiol (51ng/L) were found [11]. Other countries include Pakistan, Taiwan, Korea, USA and Europe where all effluents had mg/L of APIs. Lethal harms of discharge include phosphoric acid that kill fish, gene expression thereby enzymatic action changes, antibiotic resistance, intersex fish production in France due to steroidal discharge, relatively highly toxic to aquatic life forms than terrestrial, bad effect on overall metabolism, sperm production (especially in mice) and behavioral changes. The risks associated with discharge particularly in context of epidemic are concerned more often with antibiotic resistance and spread from localized areas which gets intensified by bad sewerage and wastewater management systems more often in developing areas [12, 13].

Harmful impacts of petrochemical or hydrocarbon industrial effluents include acute health effects like eye irritation, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and confusion. Additionally, skin irritation, allergic reactions or inflammation may also occur. Embryotoxic effects like low IQ level, behavioral problems and childhood asthma. Chronic effects include breathing defects, kidney and liver problems, eye cataract and blood cell breakdown. Genotoxic and immunotoxic effects may also persist. Major sources of toxicity are PAHs (Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons). They are also potent cause of cancer in many organisms.

#### BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT TECHNIQUES

##### Fungal Treatment

Treatment of pharmaceutical industrial effluent includes fungal treatment but it has setbacks due to extended life cycles and spore production. A fungal strain *Bjerkandera adusta* MUT 2295 was used to achieve 91 % COD reduction as compared to activated sludge process which gives 78 % results. *Aspergillus niger*, *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Aspergillus niveus* were used at different concentration to show COD reduction of diluted industrial wastes. Fungi group called as Ascomycetes which include *Penicillium decumbens* and *Penicillium lignorum* cause significant COD reduction, phenol treatment and color improvement

[14].

### Bacterial Treatment

Bacterial treatment includes species *Pseudomonas*, *Enterobacter*, *Streptomonas*, *Aeromonas*, *Acinetobacter* and *Klebsiella* which show 44% COD reduction. 15 isolated rhizosphere bacteria showed color reduction of 76% and 85–86% of COD and BOD reduction in a period of 30 days [15]. *E. coli* and methanogenic consortium are used to detoxify phenol and related compounds. Their removal is undoubtedly needed as they are potent sources of many toxic effects as discussed above, bacterial strain used should completely mineralize these contents. Bacterial species from genera *Arthrobacter*, *Comamonas*, *Rhodococcus* and *Ralstonia* can degrade phenolic and organic matter; some *Clostridium* species (fermenting bacteria) can degrade resorcinol. *Pseudomonas*; a rhizospheric soil bacteria can biodegrade phenolic compounds. A thermophilic bacteria *Bacillus thermoglucosidasius* A7 can breakdown phenolic and cresolic compounds at 64° C by utilizing meta-cleavage pathway. *Pseudomonas putida* MTCC 1194 highly-acclimatized culture could degrade phenol(100mg/L) in 162 hours and catechol (500mg/L) in 94 hours. Fluorescence can degrade phenols and chlorophenols(table 1)[16].

**Table 1:** Reduction of Pharmaceutical Industrial Effluents by Bacterial Consortia

Effluent	Concentration Before Treatment	Concentration After Treatment
Sulphates	44–1,527 mg/L	6–65.8 mg/L
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	484–1,452 mg/L	68–540 mg/L
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	4–84 mg/L	12–56 mg/L
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	1,257.9–1,542.9 mg/L	113.2–377.6 mg/L

A phototrophic *Rhodobacter* spheroids reduced COD by 80%. A mixed bacterial culture showed COD reduction of 62 % at 30° C and 38 % at 60° C [17].

### Petrochemical and Hydrocarbon Industrial Effluent Treatment by SBR Technique

SBR (Sequencing Batch Reactor) technique is employed to treat the effluent from petrochemical industries that primarily consists of hydrocarbons, for example Xylene, styrene, methyl styrene, indene, methylidene, alkylated naphthalene, phenol, cyclooctadiene, isopropyl benzene, ethyl toluene, butoxyethanol, acetophenone, terpeneol, acenaphthene, fluorene, diphenyl propanol, cresol, acenaphthylene etc. and some oils as well. SBR is applied at second stage treatment processes which utilize microorganisms to remove oil and other waste hydrocarbons producing carbon dioxide, water and methane [18]. SBR can be employed for both aerobic and anaerobic and treatment of wastewater. SBR is run as a

single batch reactor that may be modified as sequential batch reactor. It has following main steps: fill, react, settle, draw and idle. Wastewater treatment installed SBR have flow rates of 22L/s. SBR technology is flexible and can also be used for denitrifying purposes. Modified SBR include continuous flow SBR sequencing batch biofilm reactor (SBBR), anaerobic sequencing batch reactor (ASBR), anaerobic-aerobic SBR, and membrane sequencing batch reactor(MSBR)(table 2).

**Table 2:** Bacterial Species and Their Functions in the Treatment of Industrial Effluents

Function	Species	Reference
Bacteria used in treating Nitrogen (Nitrite reducing)	<i>Rhodobacter</i> (Nitrate reductase enzyme)	[19]
Bacteria used in treating Sulphur (Sulphur oxidizing)	<i>Acinetobacter</i>	[20]
Bacteria used in treating Nitrogen (Nitrogen fixation)	<i>Xanthobacter</i>	[19]
Bacteria used in treating Nitrogen (Denitrification)	<i>Agrobacterium</i>	[21]

Beside SBR microbes employed to treat industrial effluents include bacteria such as *Pseudomonas*, *Achromobacter*, *Azoarcus*, *Arthrobacter*, *Brevibacterium*, *Micrococcus*, *Flavobacterium*, *Corynebacterium*, *Cellulomonas*, *Nocardia*, *Marinobacter*, *Acinetobacter*, *Ochrobactrum*, *Vibrio*, *Stenotrophomaonas*, etc. to degrade hydrocarbons. Fungal species include *Candida*, *Saccharomyces*, *Fusarium*, *Aspergillus*, *Neosartorya*, *Amorphoteca*, *Talaromyces*, *Penicillium*, *Paecilomyces*, *Graphium*, *Rhodotorula*, *Pichia*, *Sporobolomyces*, *Pseudozyma*, *Yarrowia*, etc. that breakdown petroleum and related compounds[22].

## CONCLUSIONS

Effluents from leather and other chemical industries are harmful to the environment and living organisms. Biological treatment methods such as those done by algae, fungi, and bacteria have shown promise in reducing the concentration of heavy metals and toxic chemicals in these effluents. Bacterial species like *Pseudomonas*, *Enterobacter*, and *Acinetobacter* have been effective in COD reduction.

### Authors Contribution

Conceptualization: HN, RY, MDM, MAZ, ZN, JA  
Writing-review and editing: HN, RY, MDM, MAZ, ZN, JA  
All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### Source of Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.



## REFERENCES

- [1] Hansen E, de Aquim PM, Gutterres M. Environmental assessment of water, chemicals and effluents in leather post-tanning process: A review. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*. 2021 Jul; 89: 106597. doi: 10.1016/j.eiar.2021.106597.
- [2] Hashmi GJ, Dastageer G, Sajid MS, Ali Z, Malik MF, Liaqat I. Leather industry and environment: Pakistan scenario. *International Journal of Applied Biology and Forensics*. 2017;1(2): 20-5.
- [3] Ramesh K and Thirumangai V. Impacts of tanneries on quality of groundwater in Pallavaram, Chennai Metropolitan City. *Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*. 2014 Jan; 4(1): 63-70.
- [4] Sharmila S and Jeyanthi Rebecca J. A comparative study on the degradation of leather industry effluent by marine algae. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research*. 2014 Mar; 25: 46-50.
- [5] Nur-E-Alam M, Mia MA, Ahmad F, Rahman MM. An overview of chromium removal techniques from tannery effluent. *Applied Water Science*. 2020 Sep; 10(9): 205. doi: 10.1007/s13201-020-01286-0.
- [6] Bhattacharya A, Gupta A, Kaur A, Malik D. Alleviation of hexavalent chromium by using microorganisms: insight into the strategies and complications. *Water Science and Technology*. 2019 Feb; 79(3): 411-24. doi: 10.2166/wst.2019.060.
- [7] Mythili K and Karthikeyan B. Bioremediation of chromium [Cr (VI)] in tannery effluent using *Bacillus* spp. and *Staphylococcus* spp. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical & Biological Archives*. 2011 Oct; 2(5): 1460-3.
- [8] Kim IS, Ekpeghere KI, Ha SY, Kim BS, Song B, Kim JT et al. Full-scale biological treatment of tannery wastewater using the novel microbial consortium BM-S-1. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A*. 2014 Feb; 49(3): 355-64. doi: 10.1080/10934529.2014.846707.
- [9] Saxena G, Purchase D, Mulla SI, Bharagava RN. Degradation and detoxification of leather tannery effluent by a newly developed bacterial consortium GS-TE1310 for environmental safety. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*. 2020 Dec; 38: 101592. doi: 10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101592.
- [10] Awaleh MO and Soubaneh YD. Waste water treatment in chemical industries: the concept and current technologies. *Hydrology Current Research*. 2014 Feb; 5(1): 1-2.
- [11] Li D, Yang M, Hu J, Zhang Y, Chang H, Jin F. Determination of penicillin G and its degradation products in a penicillin production wastewater treatment plant and the receiving river. *Water Research*. 2008 Jan; 42(1-2): 307-17. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2007.07.016.
- [12] Erm A, Arst H, Trei T, Reinart A, Hussainov M. Optical and biological properties of Lake Ülemiste, a water reservoir of the city of Tallinn I: Water transparency and optically active substances in the water. *Lakes & Reservoirs: Research & Management*. 2001 Mar; 6(1): 63-74. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1770.2001.00129.x.
- [13] Larsson DJ. Pollution from drug manufacturing: review and perspectives. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2014 Nov; 369(1656): 20130571. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2013.0571.
- [14] Rana RS, Singh P, Kandari V, Singh R, Dobhal R, Gupta S. A review on characterization and bioremediation of pharmaceutical industries' wastewater: an Indian perspective. *Applied Water Science*. 2017 Mar; 7: 1-2. doi: 10.1007/s13201-014-0225-3.
- [15] Chaturvedi S, Chandra R, Rai V. Isolation and characterization of *Phragmites australis* (L.) rhizosphere bacteria from contaminated site for bioremediation of colored distillery effluent. *Ecological Engineering*. 2006 Oct; 27(3): 202-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2006.02.008.
- [16] Agarry SE and Solomon BO. Kinetics of batch microbial degradation of phenols by indigenous *Pseudomonas* fluorescence. *International Journal of Environmental Science & Technology*. 2008 Mar; 5: 223-32. doi: 10.1007/BF03326016.
- [17] LaPara TM, Nakatsu CH, Pantea LM, Alleman JE. Aerobic biological treatment of a pharmaceutical wastewater: effect of temperature on COD removal and bacterial community development. *Water Research*. 2001 Dec; 35(18): 4417-25. doi: 10.1016/S0043-1354(01)00178-6.
- [18] Pajoumshariati S, Zare N, Bonakdarpour B. Considering membrane sequencing batch reactors for the biological treatment of petroleum refinery wastewaters. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 2017 Feb; 523: 542-50. doi: 10.1016/j.memsci.2016.10.031.
- [19] Martínez-Luque M, Dobao MM, Castillo F. Characterization of the assimilatory and dissimilatory nitrate-reducing systems in *Rhodobacter*: a comparative study. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*. 1991 Oct; 83(3): 329-33. doi: 10.1016/0378-1097(91)90497-X.
- [20] Keseler IM, Mackie A, Santos-Zavaleta A, Billington R, Bonavides-Martinez C, Caspi R et al. The EcoCyc database: reflecting new knowledge about *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 2017 Jan; 45(D1): D543-50. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1003.
- [21] Merzouki M, Delgenes JP, Bernet N, Moletta R, Benlemlih M. Polyphosphate-accumulating and

denitrifying bacteria isolated from anaerobic-anoxic and anaerobic-aerobic sequencing batch reactors. *Current Microbiology*. 1999 Jan;38: 9-17. doi: 10.1007/PL00006776.

- [22] Sajna KV, Sukumaran RK, Gottumukkala LD, Pandey A. Crude oil biodegradation aided by biosurfactants from *Pseudozyma* sp. NII 08165 or its culture broth. *Bioresource Technology*. 2015 Sep; 191: 133-9. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2015.04.126.